

1
2
3
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
6

7 JUDY KROSHUS, et al.,
8 Plaintiffs,
9 v.
10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,
11 Defendants.

3:08-cv-0246-LDG
(Kroshus I)

12 ALICIA UHOUSE, et al.,
13 Plaintiffs,
14 v.
15 THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF
16 THE INTERIOR, et al.,
17 Defendants.

3:08-cv-0285-LDG

18 BILL ADAMSON, et al.,
19 Plaintiffs,
20 v.
21 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
22 Defendant.

3:08-cv-0621-LDG
(Adamson I)

1 LARRY J. MOORE, et al.,

3:09-cv-0167-LDG

2 Plaintiffs,

3 v.

4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

5 Defendants.

6 JAMES ADGETT, et al.,

3:09-cv-0649-LDG

7 Plaintiffs,

8 v.

9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

10 Defendant.

11 JUDY KROSHUS, et al.,

3:09-cv-0713-LDG
(Kroshus II)

12 Plaintiffs,

13 v.

14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,

15 Defendants.

16 BILL ADAMSON, et al.,

3:09-cv-0715-LDG
(Adamson II)

17 Plaintiffs,

18 v.

19 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

20 Defendant.

21 JASON AMES, et al.,

3:10-cv-0463-LDG

22 Plaintiffs,

23 v.

24 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

25 Defendant.

1 In conformity with the subdivision of pending motions for oral arguments and rulings
2 announced by the court in its order of March 16, 2011, and in the further interests of case
3 management of this litigation,

4 THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that the following motions are DENIED without
5 prejudice subject to their reinstatement by the court in the due course of the aforementioned
6 proceedings:

7 Kroshus v. United States (Kroshus I), 3:08-cv-0246-LDG

8 Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment on the issue of the Bureau of
9 Reclamation's level of flows through the Truckee Canal (#857)

10 Adamson v. United States, 3:08-cv-00621-LDG

11 United States' motion for summary judgment regarding discretionary function
12 immunity (#230)

13 Moore v. United States, 3:09-cv-0167-LDG

14 United States' motion for summary judgment regarding discretionary function
15 immunity (#219)

16 Adgett v. United States, 3:09-cv-0649-LDG

17 United States' motion for summary judgment regarding discretionary function
18 immunity (#161)

19 Kroshus v. United States (Kroshus II), 3:09-cv-0713-LDG

20 TRC Engineers, Inc.'s, defendants' motion for summary judgment against the 58
21 individuals in Kroshus II who are not members of the Reimers class action (#368)

22 Adamson v. United States, 3:09-cv-0715-LDG

23 United States' motion for summary judgment regarding discretionary function
24 immunity (#170)

25 Ames v. United States, 3:10-cv-0463-LDG

26 United States' motion for summary judgment regarding discretionary function
immunity (#112)

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that, as approval of class settlement and distribution
of settlement funds has been granted, the following related motions for approval of settlement of

1 class action pursuant to FRCP 23(e) and distribution of settlement funds are hereby DENIED in
2 the following cases as moot: Kroshus v. United States (Kroshus I), 3:08-cv-0246-LDG (#848);
3 Uhouse v. Bureau of Reclamation, 3:08-cv-0285-LDG (#458); and Kroshus v. United States
4 (Kroshus II), 3:09-cv-0713-LDG (#384).

5 DATED this 27 day of September, 2013.

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Lloyd D. George
United States District Judge

